## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 2 AT TACOMA 3 AMRISH RAJAGOPALAN, on behalf of 4 himself and all others similarly situated, CASE NO. C11-05574BHS 5 Plaintiff, **ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO** 6 v. STAY DISCOVERY 7 NOTEWORLD, LLC, 8 Defendant. 9 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant NoteWorld, LLC's Motion to 10 Stay Discovery (Dkt. 19). The Court has considered the pleadings filed in support of, and 11 in opposition to, the motion and the remainder of the file. For the reasons stated herein, 12 the Court grants the motion subject to the exception listed below. 13 I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY 14 On July 26, 2011, Amrish Rajagopalan ("Plaintiff") filed his complaint against 15 NoteWorld, LLC ("Defendant") on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated. 16 Dkt. 1. On August 1, 2011, the Court issued a minute order regarding initial disclosures, 17 ioint status report and early settlement. Dkt. 8. In that order, the Court set an initial 18 disclosure deadline of November 22, 2011. Id. 19 On October 5, 2011, Defendant filed a motion to dismiss or to stay litigation and 20 compel arbitration. Dkt. 14. On November 14, 2011, Plaintiff responded. Dkt. 15. On 21 December 2, 2011, Defendant replied. Dkt. 26. 22

On November 18, 2011, Defendant filed the instant motion to stay discovery pending the resolution of the motion to dismiss or to stay litigation and compel arbitration ("Motion to Compel Arbitration"). Dkt. 19. On December 5, 2011, Plaintiff responded. Dkt. 28. On December 9, 2011, Defendant replied. Dkt. 30.

Notwithstanding the pending motions, Plaintiff served his initial disclosures by the Court-issued deadline of November 22, 2011. Dkt. 28 at 5. Defendant did not. *Id.* The Joint Status report that the parties filed on November 29, 2011 (Dkt. 23) contemplated that the parties would exchange initial discovery requests by December 15, 2011, and that Defendant would begin ESI discovery by January 15, 2012. Dkt. 23 at 12. As of December 5, 2011, Plaintiff had not served NoteWorld with any discovery requests. Dkt. 28 at 5.

## II. DISCUSSION

A district court has broad discretion over processes governing discovery. Brookhaven Typesetting Servs., Inc. v. Adobe Sys., Inc., 332 Fed. Appx. 387 at \*2 (9th Cir. 2009) (citing Wood v. McEwen, 644 F.2d 797, 801 (9th Cir. 1981)). Here, Defendant asks the Court to stay discovery pending the resolution of its Motion to Compel Arbitration. Dkt. 14. In evaluating this request, the Court acknowledges Plaintiff's desire to commence discovery consistent with the case schedule and the agreed-to Joint Status Report. At the same time, the Court recognizes that a determination on whether or not this matter is ultimately arbitrable could impact the nature and scope of discovery. Weighing these and other considerations, the Court finds that a short stay is appropriate under the circumstances. Indeed, the Court anticipates issuing a ruling on Defendant's

1	Motion to Compel Arbitration within days, and, accordingly, the Court finds that the
2	limited duration of the stay will not prejudice Plaintiff's efforts to build his case.
3	However, the Court disagrees with Defendant's decision to withhold initial
4	disclosures, which were due on November 22, 2011. Defendant has an independent
5	obligation under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) that is unaffected by the instant motion, and
6	Defendant may not avoid that obligation on the basis that it has a pending Motion to
7	Compel Arbitration. To be sure, the Court has not made a final determination on the
8	Motion to Compel Arbitration, but, until it does so, the Court requires compliance with
9	the Civil Rules.
10	III. ORDER
11	Therefore, it is hereby <b>ORDERED</b> that:
12	NoteWorld's motion to stay discovery is GRANTED;
13	2. All discovery is stayed until such time that the Court lifts the stay; and
14	3. NoteWorld shall serve its initial disclosures by no later than
15	January 16, 2012.
16	Dated this 9 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 2012.
17	$\int_{\Omega} \int C$
18	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
19	United States District Judge
20	
21	
22	